

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

WASTE MANAGEMENT OF
WISCONSIN, INC.,

Defendant.

DOCKET #

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

AUG -2 2007

CIVIL ACTION NO. _____

FILED
TERESA M. OWENS CLERK
CLERK

07 C 0424 C

CONSENT DECREE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>	1
II. <u>JURISDICTION</u>	7
III. <u>PARTIES BOUND</u>	7
IV. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>	8
V. <u>GENERAL PROVISIONS</u>	13
VI. <u>PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY SETTLING DEFENDANT</u>	16
VII. <u>REMEDY REVIEW</u>	21
VIII. <u>QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, AND DATA ANALYSIS</u>	22
IX. <u>ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS</u>	25
X. <u>REPORTING REQUIREMENTS</u>	32
XI. <u>EPA APPROVAL OF PLANS AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS</u>	34
XII. <u>PROJECT COORDINATORS</u>	37
XIII. <u>ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK</u>	38
XIV. <u>CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION</u>	40
XV. <u>EMERGENCY RESPONSE</u>	43
XVI. <u>PAYMENTS FOR RESPONSE COSTS</u>	44
XVII. <u>DISBURSEMENT OF SPECIAL ACCOUNT FUNDS</u>	47
XVIII. <u>INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE</u>	50
XIX. <u>FORCE MAJEURE</u>	53
XX. <u>DISPUTE RESOLUTION</u>	55
XXI. <u>STIPULATED PENALTIES</u>	59
XXII. <u>COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFF</u>	64
XXIII. <u>COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANT</u>	69

XXIV. <u>EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION</u>	71
XXV. <u>ACCESS TO INFORMATION</u>	72
XXVI. <u>RETENTION OF RECORDS</u>	74
XXVII. <u>NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS</u>	76
XXVIII. <u>EFFECTIVE DATE</u>	78
XXIX. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>	78
XXX. <u>APPENDICES</u>	78
XXXI. <u>COMMUNITY RELATIONS</u>	79
XXXII. <u>MODIFICATION</u>	80
XXXIII. <u>LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT</u>	81
XXXIV. <u>SIGNATORIES/SERVICE</u>	81
XXXV. <u>FINAL JUDGMENT</u>	82

I. BACKGROUND

A. The United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), filed a complaint in this matter pursuant to Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607.

B. The United States in its complaint seeks, inter alia: (1) reimbursement of costs incurred by EPA and the Department of Justice for response actions at the Hagen Farm Superfund Site in Dane County, Wisconsin, together with accrued interest; and (2) performance of studies and response work by the Settling Defendant at the Site consistent with the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300 (as amended) ("NCP").

C. In accordance with the NCP and Section 121(f)(1)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(f)(1)(F), EPA notified the State of Wisconsin (the "State") on November 4, 2003, of negotiations with the Settling Defendant regarding the performance of response actions at the Site, and EPA has provided the State with an opportunity to participate in such negotiations and be a party to this Consent Decree.

D. In accordance with Section 122(j)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(j)(1), and as described in Section II of the Administrative Order By Consent Re: Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study, U.S. EPA Docket No. V-W-87-C-016 (the "RI/FS AOC," copy attached as Appendix A), EPA notified the natural resource trustees of negotiations with potentially responsible parties regarding the release of hazardous substances that may have resulted in injury

to the natural resources under Federal trusteeship and encouraged the trustee(s) to participate in the negotiations. Additional notice was provided to the Federal natural resources trustee on November 4, 2003, prior to the negotiation of this Consent Decree.

E. The defendant entering into this Consent Decree ("Settling Defendant") does not admit any liability to the Plaintiff arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the complaint, nor does it acknowledge that the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Site constitutes an imminent or substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment.

F. Pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, EPA placed the Site on the National Priorities List, set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B, by publication in the Federal Register on July 22, 1987, 52 Fed. Reg. 27620.

G. In response to a release or a substantial threat of a release of hazardous substances at or from the Site, the Settling Defendant and another potentially responsible party ("PRP") at the Site commenced on September 14, 1987, a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study ("RI/FS") for the Site pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.430 and the RI/FS AOC.

H. The Remedial Investigation ("RI") at the site was divided into two parts. The first part of the RI was for the Source Control Operable Unit ("SCOU"), and addressed waste refuse and sub-surface soils at disposal area A and the two smaller disposal areas B and C. The second part of the RI was for the Ground Water Control Operable Unit ("GCOU"), and addressed contaminated groundwater at the Site. The operable unit approach was agreed upon after discussions among EPA, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources ("WDNR"), and the

PRPs during the early phase of the implementation of the RI Work Plan. The Settling Defendant and another PRP at the Site completed the SCOU RI Report in early 1989, and completed the GCOU RI Report in November 1991. The Settling Defendant and another PRP at the Site completed the SCOU Feasibility Study ("FS") Report in early 1990. The Settling Defendant and another PRP at the Site completed the GCOU FS Report in April 1992. The SCOU RI/FS and the GCOU RI/FS were conducted and completed by the Settling Defendant and another PRP pursuant to the terms of the RI/FS AOC.

I. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA published notice of the completion of the SCOU FS and of the SCOU proposed plan for remedial action in June 1990, in a major local newspaper of general circulation. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the SCOU proposed plan for remedial action. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the response action. EPA's decision on the SCOU remedial action to be implemented at the Site is embodied in a final Record of Decision ("ROD"), executed on September 17, 1990, on which the State has given its concurrence. The SCOU ROD includes a responsiveness summary to the public comments. Notice of the final plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA. In April, 1991, EPA executed an Explanation of Significant Differences ("SCOU ESD") explaining how the source control remedial action differed significantly from the remedy selected in the SCOU ROD.

J. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA published notice of the completion of the GCOU FS and of the GCOU proposed plan for remedial action in May of

1992, in a major local newspaper of general circulation. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the GCOU proposed plan for remedial action. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the response action. EPA's decision on the GCOU remedial action to be implemented at the Site is embodied in a final GCOU ROD, executed on September 30, 1992, on which the State has given its concurrence. The GCOU ROD includes a responsiveness summary to the public comments. Notice of the final plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA. On August 27, 1996, EPA executed an Explanation of Significant Difference ("GCOU ESD") explaining how the ground water control remedial action differed significantly from the remedy selected in the GCOU ROD.

K. Construction of the SCOU remedial action was, and operation and maintenance of the SCOU remedial action to date is, conducted by Settling Defendant pursuant to the terms of the Administrative Order Pursuant to Section 106 of CERCLA, issued by EPA on March 7, 1991 (the "SCOU UAO," copy attached as Appendix B). The SCOU remedial action includes a cap and In-Situ Vapor Extraction System (ISVE). The cap Long-term Operation and Maintenance (O&M) plan, which was included in the 100% Remedial Design (RD) report for the cap, is dated August 1991 ("Cap LTO&M Plan," copy attached as Appendix C). The ISVE Long-term Monitoring and O&M plan was included in the 100% RD for the ISVE, and is dated August 1993 ("ISVE LTM-O&M Plan," copy attached as Appendix D).

L. Construction of the GCOU remedial action was, and operation and maintenance of the GCOU remedial action to date is, conducted by Settling Defendant pursuant to the terms of

the Administrative Order Pursuant to Section 106 of CERCLA, issued by EPA on November 25, 1992, in EPA Docket No. V-W-92-C-172 (the "GCOU UAO," copy attached as Appendix E). The GCOU remedial action includes a groundwater pump and treat system. Since 2001, as a pilot study, an air sparge system has been operating in lieu of the groundwater pump and treat system. The groundwater pump and treat Long-term O&M plan is part of the 100% RD report dated May 1995 and a long-term groundwater monitoring plan is part of the RD work plan dated July 1993 ("GW LTM-O&M Plan," copy attached as Appendix F). The air sparge system and modified groundwater monitoring plan was approved as the Low-Flow Air Sparge System Implementation and Monitoring Plan dated January 2001 ("Air Sparge IM Plan," copy attached as Appendix G).

M. In accordance with the UAOs, and reports, plans, and schedules approved by EPA pursuant to the UAOs, the Settling Defendant has completed construction of the solid and hazardous waste landfill covers, groundwater extraction and treatment system, and systems to vent and treat landfill gases and volatile organic contamination. Therefore, subject to paragraph 85, the Parties anticipate that Settling Defendant's future activities at the Site will entail implementation of the Operation and Maintenance Plan requirements until such time as EPA may issue a Certification of Completion in accordance with paragraph 48.

N. In 1993, pursuant to a bankruptcy settlement agreement and stipulated order involving various Uniroyal-related entities, in Case No. 91-32791, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of Indiana, the United States received shares of Uniroyal Technology Corp. stock. The bankruptcy settlement agreement and stipulated order required EPA to credit the proceeds of the sale of that stock to various sites for which the Uniroyal entities were allegedly

liable, including, the Hagen Farm Landfill Site, thereby reducing the liability of other parties potentially responsible for those Sites. EPA has determined that certain amounts are available to reduce the liability of other potentially responsible parties in connection with the Hagen Farm Landfill Site as required by the bankruptcy settlement agreement and stipulated order. The proceeds of the stock sale attributable to the Hagen Farm Landfill Site, after offset for unrecovered EPA costs for the Site, will be deposited in a special account for the Site.

O. Based on the information presently available to EPA, EPA believes that the Work will be properly and promptly conducted by the Settling Defendant if conducted in accordance with the requirements of this Consent Decree and its appendices.

P. Solely for the purposes of Section 113(j) of CERCLA, the Remedial Action selected by the SCOU ROD and the GCOU ROD, as further described by the SCOU ESD and GCOU ESD, respectively, and the Work to be performed by the Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree shall constitute a response action taken or ordered by the President.

Q. The Parties recognize, and the Court by entering this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith and implementation of this Consent Decree will expedite the cleanup of the Site and will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed:

II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendant. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaint, Settling Defendant waives all objections and defenses that it may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. Settling Defendant shall not challenge the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

III. PARTIES BOUND

2. This Consent Decree applies to and is binding upon the United States and upon Settling Defendant and its successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate status of Settling Defendant, including but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter Settling Defendant's responsibilities under this Consent Decree.

3. Settling Defendant shall provide a copy of this Consent Decree to each contractor hired to perform the Work (as defined below) required by this Consent Decree and to each person representing Settling Defendant with respect to the Site or the Work and shall condition all contracts entered into hereunder upon performance of the Work in conformity with the terms of this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant or its contractors shall provide written notice of the Consent Decree to all subcontractors hired to perform any portion of the Work required by this

Consent Decree. Settling Defendant shall nonetheless be responsible for ensuring that its contractors and subcontractors perform the Work contemplated herein in accordance with this Consent Decree. With regard to the activities undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree, each contractor and subcontractor shall be deemed to be in a contractual relationship with the Settling Defendant within the meaning of Section 107(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(b)(3).

IV. DEFINITIONS

4. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree which are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in the appendices attached hereto and incorporated hereunder, the following definitions shall apply:

“CERCLA” shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 9601, *et seq.*

“Consent Decree” shall mean this Decree and all appendices attached hereto (listed in Section XXX). In the event of conflict between this Decree and any appendix, this Decree shall control.

“Day” shall mean a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a working day. “Working day” shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

"Effective Date" shall be the effective date of this Consent Decree as provided in Paragraph 108.

"EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies of the United States.

"Hagen Farm Landfill Site Special Account" shall mean the special account to be established for the Site pursuant to Section 122(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9622(b)(3), and this Consent Decree.

"Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account" shall mean the special account to be established for the Site by EPA pursuant to Section 122(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9622(b)(3) for the purpose of implementing paragraph 55 of this Consent Decree.

"Interest," shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. § 9507, compounded annually on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). The applicable rate of interest shall be the rate in effect at the time the interest accrues. The rate of interest is subject to change on October 1 of each year.

"National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, and any amendments thereto.

"Operation and Maintenance" or "O & M" shall mean all activities required to maintain the effectiveness of the Remedial Action as required under the Operation and Maintenance Plans

approved or developed by EPA pursuant to the Statement of Work (SOWs) and/or this Consent Decree.

"Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an Arabic numeral or an upper case letter.

"Parties" shall mean the United States and the Settling Defendant.

"Performance Standards" shall mean the cleanup standards and other measures of achievement of the goals of the Remedial Action, set forth in the SCOU ROD and SCOU ESD, the GCOU ROD and GCOU ESD, and Section II.B. of the SOW.

"Plaintiff" shall mean the United States.

"RCRA" shall mean the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 6901 *et seq.* (also known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act).

"Records of Decision" or "RODs" shall mean the EPA Records of Decision relating to the Site, and all explanations of significant differences relating to the Site. The decision by EPA on the SCOU remedial action to be implemented at the Site is embodied in a final ROD, executed on September 17, 1990. The SCOU ROD is attached as Appendix H. The SCOU ESD, which was executed in April 1991 and explains significant differences between the SCOU remedial action and the SCOU ROD, is attached as Appendix I. The decision by EPA on the GCOU remedial action to be implemented at the Site is embodied in a final GCOU ROD, executed on September 30, 1992. The GCOU ROD is attached as Appendix J. The GCOU ESD,

which was executed on August 27, 1996 and explains significant differences between the GCOU remedial action and the GCOU ROD, is attached as Appendix K.

“Remedial Action” shall mean those activities, except for Operation and Maintenance, undertaken by the Settling Defendant to implement the SCOU ROD, the SCOU ESD, the GCOU ROD, and the GCOU ESD, in accordance with the SOW and the final Remedial Designs and Remedial Action Work Plans and other plans approved by EPA.

“Remedial Design” shall mean those activities undertaken by the Settling Defendant to develop the final plans and specifications for the Remedial Action pursuant to the Remedial Design Work Plan.

“Response Costs” shall mean all costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, that the United States (a) incurred, or will incur, with respect to the Site after December 31, 2006, through the effective date of this Consent Decree and (b) that the United States incurs in reviewing or developing plans, reports and other items pursuant to this Consent Decree, verifying the Work, or otherwise implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, the costs incurred pursuant to Sections VII, IX (including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time and any monies paid to secure access and/or to secure or implement institutional controls including, but not limited to, the amount of just compensation), XV, and Paragraph 89 of Section XXII.

“Section” shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a Roman numeral.

"Settling Defendant" shall mean Waste Management of Wisconsin, Incorporated.

"Site" shall mean the Hagen Farm Superfund Site, located at 2318 County Highway A, approximately one mile east of the City of Stoughton, Dane County, Wisconsin, and depicted generally on the map attached as Appendix L. The Site is defined as the area of contamination within the Hagen Farm property and all areas where hazardous substances disposed at the Hagen Farm Superfund Site have come to be located, including the contaminant plume. (see, SCOU ROD at page 1-2 and Figure 2 of the SCOU ROD; GCOU ROD at pages 4-5 and Figure 3 of the GCOU ROD). The Hagen Farm property is approximately 28 acres in size. The area of contamination within the Hagen Farm property boundary is approximately ten acres in size and is fenced.

"State" shall mean the State of Wisconsin.

"Statement of Work" or "SOW" shall mean the statement of work for implementation of the Remedial Design, Remedial Action, and Operation and Maintenance at the Site, as set forth in Appendix M to this Consent Decree and any modifications made in accordance with this Consent Decree.

"Supervising Contractor" shall mean the principal contractor retained by the Settling Defendant to supervise and direct the implementation of the Work under this Consent Decree.

"UAOs" shall mean EPA's Administrative Order Pursuant to Section 106 of CERCLA, issued on March 7, 1991 (the "SCOU UAO," copy attached as Appendix B) and the

Administrative Order Pursuant to Section 106 of CERCLA, issued by EPA on November 25, 1992, in EPA Docket No. V-W-92-C-172 (the "GCOU UAO," copy attached as Appendix E).

"United States" shall mean the United States of America.

"Waste Material" shall mean (1) any "hazardous substance" under Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14); (2) any pollutant or contaminant under Section 101(33), 42 U.S.C. § 9601(33).

"WDNR" shall mean the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources and any successor departments or agencies of the State.

"Work" shall mean all activities Settling Defendant is required to perform under this Consent Decree, except those required by Section XXVI (Retention of Records).

V. GENERAL PROVISIONS

5. Objectives of the Parties. The objectives of the Parties in entering into this Consent Decree are to protect public health or welfare or the environment at the Site by the implementation of response actions at the Site by the Settling Defendant, to reimburse response costs of the Plaintiff, to fully satisfy the requirements of the bankruptcy settlement agreement and stipulated order in Case No. 91-32791, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of Indiana, South Bend, as that settlement relates to this Site, and to resolve the claims of Plaintiff against Settling Defendant as provided in this Consent Decree.

6. Commitments by Settling Defendant. Settling Defendant shall finance and perform the Work in accordance with this Consent Decree, the RODs, the SOWs, and all work plans and other plans, standards, specifications, and schedules set forth herein or developed by Settling Defendant and approved by EPA, including those pursuant to this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant shall also reimburse the United States for Future Response Costs as provided in this Consent Decree.

7. Compliance With Applicable Law. All activities undertaken by Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of all applicable federal and state laws and regulations. Settling Defendant must also comply with all applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements of all Federal and state environmental laws as set forth in the ROD and the SOW. The activities conducted pursuant to this Consent Decree, if approved by EPA, shall be considered to be consistent with the NCP.

8. Permits.

a. As provided in Section 121(e) of CERCLA and Section 300.400(e) of the NCP, no permit shall be required for any portion of the Work conducted entirely on-site (i.e., within the areal extent of contamination or in very close proximity to the contamination and necessary for implementation of the Work). Where any portion of the Work that is not on-site requires a federal or state permit or approval, Settling Defendant shall submit timely and complete applications and take all other actions necessary to obtain all such permits or approvals.

b. The Settling Defendant may seek relief under the provisions of Section XIX (Force Majeure) of this Consent Decree for any delay in the performance of the Work resulting from a failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, any permit required for the Work.

c. This Consent Decree is not, and shall not be construed to be, a permit issued pursuant to any federal or state statute or regulation.

9. Notice to Successors-in-Title.

a. Pursuant to Paragraph 47.c. and Section VII of the GCOU UAO, Settling Defendant previously filed a "Deed Restriction." Within 15 days after the entry of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA for review and approval a supplemental notice to be filed with the Dane County Clerk, Dane County, State of Wisconsin, which shall provide notice to all successors-in-title that the Parties have entered into a Consent Decree requiring implementation of the Operation and Maintenance Plan requirements of the remedy. Such notice(s) shall identify the United States District Court in which the Consent Decree was filed, the name and civil action number of this case, and the date the Consent Decree was entered by the Court. The Settling Defendant shall record the notice(s) within 10 days of EPA's approval of the notice(s). The Settling Defendant shall provide EPA with a certified copy of the recorded notice(s) within 60 days of recording such notice(s).

b. At least 30 days prior to the conveyance of any interest in property located within the Site including, but not limited to, fee interests, leasehold interests, and mortgage interests, the Settling Defendant conveying the interest shall give the grantee written notice of (i) this Consent Decree, (ii) any instrument by which an interest in real property has been conveyed

that confers a right of access to the Site (hereinafter referred to as "access easements") pursuant to Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls), and (iii) any instrument by which an interest in real property has been conveyed that confers a right to enforce restrictions on the use of such property (hereinafter referred to as "restrictive easements") pursuant to Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls). At least 30 days prior to such conveyance, the Settling Defendant conveying the interest shall also give written notice to EPA and the State of the proposed conveyance, including the name and address of the grantee, and the date on which notice of the Consent Decree, access easements, and/or restrictive easements was given to the grantee.

c. In the event of any such conveyance, the Settling Defendant's obligations under this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, its obligation to provide or secure access and institutional controls, as well as to abide by such institutional controls, pursuant to Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls) of this Consent Decree, shall continue to be met by the Settling Defendant. In no event shall the conveyance release or otherwise affect the liability of the Settling Defendant to comply with all provisions of this Consent Decree, absent the prior written consent of EPA. If the United States approves, the grantee may perform some or all of the Work under this Consent Decree.

VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY SETTLING DEFENDANT

10. Selection of Supervising Contractor.

a. All aspects of the Work to be performed by Settling Defendant pursuant to Sections VI (Performance of the Work by Settling Defendant), VII (Remedy Review), VIII (Quality Assurance, Sampling and Data Analysis), and XV (Emergency Response) of this

Consent Decree shall be under the direction and supervision of the Supervising Contractor, the selection of which shall be subject to disapproval by EPA. At the time of the lodging of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant's Supervising Contractor for the Site, approved by EPA pursuant to the UAOs, is RMT. If at any time after entry of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant proposes to change a Supervising Contractor, Settling Defendant shall give prior notice to EPA and must obtain from EPA an authorization to proceed before the new Supervising Contractor performs, directs, or supervises any Work under this Consent Decree. Such notice shall be in writing and include the name, title, and qualifications of any contractor proposed to be the Supervising Contractor. With respect to any contractor proposed to be Supervising Contractor, Settling Defendant shall demonstrate that the proposed contractor has a quality system that complies with ANSI/ASQC E4-1994, "Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs," (American National Standard, January 5, 1995), by submitting a copy of the proposed contractor's Quality Management Plan (QMP). The QMP should be prepared in accordance with "EPA Requirements for Quality Management Plans (QA/R-2)" (EPA/240/B-01/002, March 2001) or equivalent documentation as determined by EPA. EPA will issue a notice of disapproval or an authorization to proceed. Such authorization will not be unreasonably withheld. If at any time thereafter, Settling Defendant proposes to change a Supervising Contractor, Settling Defendant shall give such notice to EPA and must obtain from EPA an authorization to proceed before the new Supervising Contractor performs, directs, or supervises any Work under this Consent Decree.

b. If EPA disapproves a proposed Supervising Contractor, EPA will notify Settling Defendant in writing. Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA a list of contractors, including the qualifications of each contractor, that would be acceptable to them within 30 days of receipt of EPA's disapproval of the contractor previously proposed. EPA will provide written notice of the names of any contractor(s) that it disapproves and an authorization to proceed with respect to any of the other contractors. Settling Defendant may select any contractor from that list that is not disapproved and shall notify EPA of the name of the contractor selected within 21 days of EPA's authorization to proceed.

c. If EPA fails to provide written notice of its authorization to proceed or disapproval as provided in this Paragraph and this failure prevents the Settling Defendant from meeting one or more deadlines in a plan approved by the EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant may seek relief under the provisions of Section XIX (Force Majeure) hereof.

11. The Settling Defendant shall continue to implement O&M activities until the Performance Standards are achieved and for so long thereafter as is otherwise required under this Consent Decree.

12. Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans.

a. If EPA determines that modification to the work specified in the SOW and/or in work plans developed pursuant to the SOW is necessary to achieve and maintain the Performance Standards or to carry out and maintain the effectiveness of the remedy set forth in the RODs, EPA may require that such modification be incorporated in the SOW and/or such

work plans, provided, however, that a modification may only be required pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that it is consistent with the scope of the remedy selected in the RODs.

b. For the purposes of this Paragraph 12 and Paragraphs 47 and 48 only, the "scope of the remedy selected in the RODs" is control of unauthorized access to the Site; source control by capping, vapor extraction and landfill gas venting and treatment; groundwater remediation by air sparging and/or pumping and treating groundwater, and monitoring groundwater; groundwater use restrictions, including environmental monitoring; and operation and maintenance of all aforementioned components of the remedy.

c. If Settling Defendant objects to any modification determined by EPA to be necessary pursuant to this Paragraph, it may seek dispute resolution pursuant to Section XX (Dispute Resolution), Paragraph 69 (record review). The SOW and/or related work plans shall be modified in accordance with final resolution of the dispute.

d. Settling Defendant shall implement any work required by any modifications incorporated in the SOW and/or in work plans developed pursuant to the SOW in accordance with this Paragraph.

e. Nothing in this Paragraph shall be construed to limit EPA's authority to require performance of further response actions as otherwise provided in this Consent Decree.

13. Settling Defendant acknowledges and agrees that nothing in this Consent Decree, the SOW, or the Remedial Design or Remedial Action Work Plans constitutes a warranty or

representation of any kind by Plaintiff that compliance with the work requirements set forth in the SOW and the Work Plans will achieve the Performance Standards.

14. a. Settling Defendant shall, prior to any off-Site shipment of Waste Material from the Site to an out-of-state waste management facility, provide written notification to the appropriate state environmental official in the receiving facility's state and to the EPA Project Coordinator of such shipment of Waste Material. However, this notification requirement shall not apply to any off-Site shipments when the total volume of all such shipments will not exceed 10 cubic yards.

(1) The Settling Defendant shall include in the written notification the following information, where available: (1) the name and location of the facility to which the Waste Material is to be shipped; (2) the type and quantity of the Waste Material to be shipped; (3) the expected schedule for the shipment of the Waste Material; and (4) the method of transportation. The Settling Defendant shall notify the state in which the planned receiving facility is located of major changes in the shipment plan, such as a decision to ship the Waste Material to another facility within the same state, or to a facility in another state.

(2) The identity of the receiving facility and state will be determined by the Settling Defendant following the award of the contract for Remedial Action construction. The Settling Defendant shall provide the information required by Paragraph 14.a as soon as practicable after the award of the contract and before the Waste Material is actually shipped.

b. Before shipping any hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants from the Site to an off-site location, Settling Defendant shall obtain EPA's certification that the

proposed receiving facility is operating in compliance with the requirements of CERCLA Section 121(d)(3) and 40 C.F.R. 300.440. Settling Defendant shall only send hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants from the Site to an off-site facility that complies with the requirements of the statutory provision and regulations cited in the preceding sentence.

VII. Remedy Review

15. Periodic Review. Settling Defendant shall conduct any studies and investigations as requested by EPA, in order to permit EPA to conduct reviews of whether the Remedial Action is protective of human health and the environment at least every five years as required by Section 121(c) of CERCLA and any applicable regulations.

16. EPA Selection of Further Response Actions. If EPA determines, at any time, that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health and the environment, EPA may select further response actions for the Site in accordance with the requirements of CERCLA and the NCP.

17. Opportunity To Comment. Settling Defendant and, if required by Sections 113(k)(2) or 117 of CERCLA, the public, will be provided with an opportunity to comment on any further response actions proposed by EPA as a result of the review conducted pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCLA and to submit written comments for the record during the comment period.

18. Settling Defendant's Obligation To Perform Further Response Actions. If EPA selects further response actions for the Site, the Settling Defendant shall undertake such further

response actions to the extent that the reopener conditions in Paragraph 85 or Paragraph 86 (United States' reservations of liability based on unknown conditions or new information) are satisfied. Settling Defendant may invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) to dispute (1) EPA's determination that the reopener conditions of Paragraph 85 or Paragraph 86 of Section XXII (Covenants Not To Sue by Plaintiff) are satisfied, (2) EPA's determination that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health and the environment, or (3) EPA's selection of the further response actions. Disputes pertaining to whether the Remedial Action is protective or to EPA's selection of further response actions shall be resolved pursuant to Paragraph 69 (record review).

19. Submissions of Plans. If Settling Defendant is required to perform the further response actions pursuant to Paragraph 18, it shall submit a plan for such work to EPA for approval in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section VI (Performance of the Work by Settling Defendant) and shall implement the plan approved by EPA in accordance with the provisions of this Decree.

VIII. QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, AND DATA ANALYSIS

20. Settling Defendant shall use quality assurance, quality control, and chain of custody procedures for all compliance and monitoring samples in accordance with "EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/R5)" (EPA/240/B-01/003, March 2001) "Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/G-5)" (EPA/600/R-98/018, February 1998), and subsequent amendments to such guidelines upon notification by EPA to Settling Defendant of such amendment. Amended guidelines shall apply only to procedures conducted after such

notification. Settling Defendant shall continue to conduct all sampling and sample analysis at the Site using the previously-approved Quality Assurance Project Plan(s) ("QAPP") required under the respective SCOU UAO and the GCOU UAO. QAPP amendments needed to comply with the EPA guidance documents listed above shall be submitted by the Settling Defendant to EPA within 90 Days after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant shall ensure that EPA personnel and its authorized representatives are allowed access at reasonable times to all laboratories utilized by Settling Defendant in implementing this Consent Decree. In addition, Settling Defendant shall ensure that such laboratories shall analyze all samples submitted by EPA pursuant to the QAPP for quality assurance monitoring. Settling Defendant shall ensure that the laboratories it utilizes for the analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Decree perform all analyses according to accepted EPA methods. Accepted EPA methods consist of those methods which are documented in the SOW and previously-approved QAPPs, and any amendments made thereto during the course of the implementation of this Decree; however, upon approval by EPA, after opportunity for review and comment by the State, the Settling Defendant may use other analytical methods which are as stringent as or more stringent than the CLP- approved methods. Settling Defendant shall ensure that all laboratories it uses for analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Consent Decree participate in an EPA or EPA-equivalent QA/QC program. Settling Defendant shall only use laboratories that have a documented Quality System which complies with ANSI/ASQC E4-1994, "Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs," (American National Standard, January 5, 1995), and "EPA Requirements for Quality Management Plans (QA/R-2)," (EPA/240/B-01/002, March 2001) or equivalent documentation as determined by EPA. EPA may consider laboratories accredited under the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation

Program (NELAP) as meeting the Quality System requirements. Settling Defendant shall ensure that all field sampling methodologies utilized in collecting samples for subsequent analysis pursuant to this Decree will be conducted in accordance with the previously-approved long-term monitoring plans for the SCOU and GCOU, as well as the previously-approved QAPPs, as amended.

21. Upon request, the Settling Defendant shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by EPA or its authorized representatives. Settling Defendant shall notify EPA not less than 28 days in advance of any sample collection activity unless shorter notice is agreed to by EPA. In addition, EPA shall have the right to take any additional samples that EPA deems necessary. Upon request, EPA shall allow the Settling Defendant to take split or duplicate samples of any samples it takes as part of the Plaintiff's oversight of the Settling Defendant's implementation of the Work.

22. Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA 2 copies of the results of all future sampling and/or tests or other data obtained or generated by or on behalf of Settling Defendant with respect to the Site and/or the implementation of this Consent Decree unless EPA agrees otherwise. Settling Defendant may submit one copy of the data electronically in a form approved by EPA.

23. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the United States hereby retains all of its information gathering and inspection authorities and rights, including enforcement actions related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA, and any other applicable statutes or regulations.

IX. ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS

24. With respect to the Site, or any other property where access and/or land/water use restrictions are needed to implement this Consent Decree and which is owned or controlled by the Settling Defendant, Settling Defendant shall:

a. commencing on the date of lodging of this Consent Decree, provide the United States and its representatives, including EPA and its contractors, with access at all reasonable times to the Site, or such other property, for the purpose of conducting any activity related to this Consent Decree including, but not limited to, the following activities:

- (1) Monitoring the Work;
- (2) Verifying any data or information submitted to the United States;
- (3) Conducting investigations relating to contamination at or near the Site;
- (4) Obtaining samples;
- (5) Assessing the need for, planning, or implementing additional response actions at or near the Site;
- (6) Assessing implementation of quality assurance and quality control practices as defined in the previously-approved Quality Assurance Project Plans for the SCOU and the GCOU;

(7) Implementing the Work pursuant to the conditions set forth in Paragraph 89 of this Consent Decree;

(8) Inspecting and copying records, operating logs, contracts, or other documents maintained or generated by Settling Defendant or its agents, consistent with Section XXV (Access to Information);

(9) Assessing Settling Defendant's compliance with this Consent Decree; and

(10) Determining whether the Site or other property is being used in a manner that is prohibited or restricted, or that may need to be prohibited or restricted, by or pursuant to this Consent Decree;

b. commencing on the date of lodging of this Consent Decree, refrain from using the Site, or such other property, in any manner that would interfere with or adversely affect the implementation, integrity, or protectiveness of the remedial measures to be performed pursuant to this Consent Decree. Such restrictions include, but are not limited to:

(1) No interference with construction, operation and maintenance, monitoring, and efficacy of all components and structures and improvements relating to the remedial actions implemented pursuant to the UAOs and this Consent Decree;

(2) No operations on the property which extract, consume or otherwise use the groundwater underlying the property or adjoining property except for carrying out the terms of the UAOs and this Consent Decree;

(3) No agricultural, recreational, residential, commercial, or industrial use of the property including, but not limited to, any excavation, grading or other activity involving movement of soils at the Site, any waste disposal, and any construction or placement of any residences, buildings, or structures other than for the purpose of implementing, monitoring, and maintaining the RD/RA required by the UAOs and this Consent Decree, unless otherwise approved in advance and in writing by EPA and otherwise consistent with any recorded use restrictions and/or covenants; and

(4) No construction, installation, or use of any buildings, wells, pipes, roads, ditches, or any other structures on the property that may affect the construction, physical integrity, operation and maintenance, or efficacy of the Work unless such construction, installation or use is approved in advance and in writing by EPA; and

c. if EPA so requests, execute and record in the Recorder's Office of Dane County, State of Wisconsin, an easement, running with the land, that (i) grants a right of access for the purpose of conducting any activity related to this Consent Decree including, but not limited to, those activities listed in Paragraph 24.a of this Consent Decree, and (ii) grants the right to enforce the land/water use restrictions listed in Paragraph 24.b of this Consent Decree, or other restrictions that EPA determines are necessary to implement, ensure non-interference with, or ensure the protectiveness of the remedial measures performed pursuant to the UAOs and this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant shall grant the access rights and the rights to enforce the land/water use restrictions to (i) the United States, on behalf of EPA, and its representatives, (ii) the State and its representatives, (iii) other appropriate grantees. Settling Defendant shall, within 45 days of EPA's request, submit to EPA for review and approval with respect to such property:

(1) a draft easement, in substantially the form attached hereto as Appendix N, that is enforceable under the laws of the State of Wisconsin, and

(2) a current title insurance commitment or some other evidence of title acceptable to EPA, which shows title to the land described in the easement to be free and clear of all prior liens and encumbrances (except when those liens or encumbrances are approved by EPA or when, despite best efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to obtain release or subordination of such prior liens or encumbrances).

Within 15 days of EPA's approval and acceptance of the easement and the title evidence, Settling Defendant shall update the title search and, if it is determined that nothing has occurred since the effective date of the commitment to affect the title adversely, record the easement with the Recorder's Office of Dane County. Within 30 days of recording the easement, Settling Defendant shall provide EPA with a final title insurance policy, or other final evidence of title acceptable to EPA, and a certified copy of the original recorded easement showing the clerk's recording stamps. If the easement is to be conveyed to the United States, the easement and title evidence (including final title evidence) shall be prepared in accordance with the U.S. Department of Justice Title Standards 2001, and approval of the sufficiency of title must be obtained as required by 40 U.S.C. § 255.

25. If any property where access and/or land/water use restrictions are needed to implement this Consent Decree, is owned or controlled by persons other than the Settling Defendant, Settling Defendant shall use best efforts to secure from such persons:

a. an agreement to provide access thereto for Settling Defendant, as well as for the United States on behalf of EPA, and the State, as well as their representatives (including contractors), for the purpose of conducting any activity related to this Consent Decree including, but not limited to, those activities listed in Paragraph 24.a of this Consent Decree;

b. an agreement, enforceable by the Settling Defendant and the United States, to refrain from using the Site, or such other property, in any manner that would interfere with or adversely affect the implementation, integrity, or protectiveness of the remedial measures to be performed pursuant to this Consent Decree. Such restrictions include, but are not limited to the restrictions listed in Paragraph 24.

c. the execution and recordation in the Recorder's Office of Dane County, State of Wisconsin, of an easement, running with the land, that (i) grants a right of access for the purpose of conducting any activity related to this Consent Decree including, but not limited to, those activities listed in Paragraph 24.a this Consent Decree, and (ii) grants the right to enforce the land/water use restrictions listed in Paragraph 24.b of this Consent Decree, or other restrictions that EPA determines are necessary to implement, ensure non-interference with, or ensure the protectiveness of the remedial measures performed pursuant to the UAO and this Consent Decree. The access rights and/or rights to enforce land/water use restrictions shall be granted to (i) the United States, on behalf of EPA, and its representatives, (ii) the State and its representatives, and (iii) the Settling Defendant and its representatives. If EPA so requests, Settling Defendant shall, within 45 days of EPA's request, submit to EPA for review and approval with respect to such property:

(1) a draft easement, in substantially the form attached hereto as Appendix N, that is enforceable under the laws of the State of Wisconsin, and

(2) a current title insurance commitment, or some other evidence of title acceptable to EPA, which shows title to the land described in the easement to be free and clear of all prior liens and encumbrances (except when those liens or encumbrances are approved by EPA or when, despite best efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to obtain release or subordination of such prior liens or encumbrances)

Within 15 days of EPA's approval and acceptance of the easement and the title evidence, Settling Defendant shall update the title search and, if it is determined that nothing has occurred since the effective date of the commitment to affect the title adversely, the easement shall be recorded with the Recorder's Office of Dane County. Within 30 days of the recording of the easement, Settling Defendant shall provide EPA with a final title insurance policy, or other final evidence of title acceptable to EPA, and a certified copy of the original recorded easement showing the clerk's recording stamps. If the easement is to be conveyed to the United States, then the easement and title evidence (including final title evidence) shall be prepared in accordance with the U.S. Department of Justice Title Standards 2001, and approval of the sufficiency of title must be obtained as required by 40 U.S.C. § 255.

26. For purposes of Paragraph 25 of this Consent Decree, "best efforts" includes the payment of reasonable sums of money in consideration of access, access easements, land/water use restrictions, restrictive easements, and/or an agreement to release or subordinate a prior lien or encumbrance. If (a) any access or land/water use restriction agreements required by Paragraphs 25.a or 25.b of this Consent Decree are not obtained within 45 days of the date of entry of this

Consent Decree, (b) any access easements or restrictive easements required by Paragraph 24.c or Paragraph 25.c of this Consent Decree are not submitted to EPA in draft form within 45 days of the date of EPA's request, or (c) Settling Defendant is unable to obtain an agreement pursuant to Paragraph 24.c or Paragraph 25.c from the holder of a prior lien or encumbrance to release or subordinate such lien or encumbrance to the easement being created pursuant to this consent decree within 45 days of the date of entry of this consent decree, Settling Defendant shall promptly notify the United States in writing, and shall include in that notification a summary of the steps that Settling Defendant has taken to attempt to comply with Paragraph 24 or 25 of this Consent Decree. The United States may, as it deems appropriate, assist Settling Defendant in obtaining access or land/water use restrictions, either in the form of contractual agreements or in the form of easements running with the land, or in obtaining the release or subordination of a prior lien or encumbrance. Settling Defendant shall reimburse the United States in accordance with Section XVI (Reimbursement of Response Costs), for all costs incurred, direct or indirect, by the United States in obtaining such access, land/water use restrictions, and/or the release/subordination of prior liens or encumbrances including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time and the amount of monetary consideration paid or just compensation.

27. If EPA determines that land/water use restrictions in the form of state or local laws, regulations, ordinances or other governmental controls are needed to implement the remedy selected in the ROD, ensure the integrity and protectiveness thereof, or ensure non-interference therewith, Settling Defendant shall cooperate with EPA's efforts to secure such governmental controls.

28. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the United States retains all of its access authorities and rights, as well as all of its rights to require land/water use restrictions, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA and any other applicable statute or regulations.

X. Reporting Requirements

29. In addition to any other requirement of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA two copies (one of which may be submitted electronically) of written annual progress reports that: (a) describe the actions which have been taken toward achieving compliance with this Consent Decree during the previous year; (b) include a summary of all results of sampling and tests and all other data received or generated by Settling Defendant or its contractors or agents in the previous year; (c) identify all work plans, plans and other deliverables required by this Consent Decree completed and submitted during the previous year; (d) describe all actions, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of work plans, which are scheduled for the next year and provide other information relating to the progress of construction, including, but not limited to, critical path diagrams, Gantt charts and Pert charts; (e) include information regarding percentage of completion, unresolved delays encountered or anticipated that may affect the future schedule for implementation of the Work, and a description of efforts made to mitigate those delays or anticipated delays; (f) include any modifications to the work plans or other schedules that Settling Defendant has proposed to EPA or that have been approved by EPA; and (g) describe all activities undertaken in support of the Community Relations Plan during the previous year and those to be undertaken in the next year. Settling Defendant shall submit these progress reports to EPA and the State by the 1st of every April.

following the entry of this Consent Decree until EPA notifies the Settling Defendant pursuant to Paragraph 48.b of Section XIV (Certification of Completion). If requested by EPA, Settling Defendant shall also provide briefings for EPA to discuss the progress of the Work.

30. The Settling Defendant shall notify EPA of any change in the schedule described in the annual progress report for the performance of any activity, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of work plans, no later than seven days prior to the performance of the activity.

31. Upon the occurrence of any event during performance of the Work that Settling Defendant is required to report pursuant to Section 103 of CERCLA or Section 304 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-know Act (EPCRA), Settling Defendant shall within 24 hours of the onset of such event orally notify the EPA Project Coordinator or the Alternate EPA Project Coordinator (in the event of the unavailability of the EPA Project Coordinator), or, in the event that neither the EPA Project Coordinator nor Alternate EPA Project Coordinator is available, the Emergency Response Section, Region V, United States Environmental Protection Agency. These reporting requirements are in addition to the reporting required by CERCLA Section 103 or EPCRA Section 304.

32. Within 20 days of the onset of such an event, Settling Defendant shall furnish to Plaintiff a written report, signed by the Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator, setting forth the events which occurred and the measures taken, and to be taken, in response thereto. Within 30 days of the conclusion of such an event, Settling Defendant shall submit a report setting forth all actions taken in response thereto.

33. Settling Defendant shall submit two copies, one of which may be in an electronic form approved by EPA, of all plans, reports, and data required by the SOW, the Remedial Design Work Plan, the Remedial Action Work Plan, or any other approved plans to EPA and to the State in accordance with the schedules set forth in such plans.

34. All reports and other documents submitted by Settling Defendant to EPA (other than the annual progress reports referred to above) which purport to document Settling Defendant's compliance with the terms of this Consent Decree shall be signed by an authorized representative of the Settling Defendant.

XI. EPA APPROVAL OF PLANS AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS

35. After review of any plan, report or other item which is required to be submitted for approval pursuant to this Consent Decree, EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, shall: (a) approve, in whole or in part, the submission; (b) approve the submission upon specified conditions; (c) modify the submission to cure the deficiencies; (d) disapprove, in whole or in part, the submission, directing that the Settling Defendant modify the submission; or (e) any combination of the above. However, EPA shall not modify a submission without first providing Settling Defendant at least one notice of deficiency and an opportunity to cure within 30 days, except where to do so would cause serious disruption to the Work or where previous submission(s) have been disapproved due to material defects and the deficiencies in the submission under consideration indicate a bad faith lack of effort to submit an acceptable deliverable.

36. In the event of approval, approval upon conditions, or modification by EPA, pursuant to Paragraph 35(a), (b), or (c), Settling Defendant shall proceed to take any action required by the plan, report, or other item, as approved or modified by EPA subject only to Settling Defendant's right to invoke the Dispute Resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) with respect to the modifications or conditions made by EPA. In the event that EPA modifies the submission to cure the deficiencies pursuant to Paragraph 35(c) and the submission has a material defect, EPA retains its right to seek stipulated penalties, as provided in Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties).

37. Resubmission of Plans.

a. Upon receipt of a notice of disapproval pursuant to Paragraph 35(d), Settling Defendant shall, within 30 days or such longer time as specified by EPA in such notice, correct the deficiencies and resubmit the plan, report, or other item for approval. Any stipulated penalties applicable to the submission, as provided in Section XXI, shall accrue during the 30-day period or otherwise specified period but shall not be payable unless the resubmission is disapproved or modified due to a material defect as provided in Paragraphs 38 and 39.

b. Notwithstanding the receipt of a notice of disapproval pursuant to Paragraph 35(d), Settling Defendant shall proceed, at the direction of EPA, to take any action required by any non-deficient portion of the submission. Implementation of any non-deficient portion of a submission shall not relieve Settling Defendant of any liability for stipulated penalties under Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties).

38. In the event that a resubmitted plan, report or other item, or portion thereof, is disapproved by EPA, EPA may again require the Settling Defendant to correct the deficiencies, in accordance with the preceding Paragraphs. EPA also retains the right to modify or develop the plan, report or other item. Settling Defendant shall implement any such plan, report, or item as modified or developed by EPA, subject only to its right to invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

39. If upon resubmission, a plan, report, or item is disapproved or modified by EPA due to a material defect, Settling Defendant shall be deemed to have failed to submit such plan, report, or item timely and adequately unless the Settling Defendant invokes the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) and EPA's action is overturned pursuant to that Section. The provisions of Section XX (Dispute Resolution) and Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties) shall govern the implementation of the Work and accrual and payment of any stipulated penalties during Dispute Resolution. If EPA's disapproval or modification is upheld, stipulated penalties shall accrue for such violation from the date on which the initial submission was originally required, as provided in Section XXI.

40. All plans, reports, and other items required to be submitted to EPA under this Consent Decree shall, upon approval or modification by EPA, be enforceable under this Consent Decree. In the event EPA approves or modifies a portion of a plan, report, or other item required to be submitted to EPA under this Consent Decree, the approved or modified portion shall be enforceable under this Consent Decree.

XII. PROJECT COORDINATORS

41. Pursuant to the UAOs, Settling Defendant and EPA identified their respective Project Coordinators and Alternate Project Coordinators. If a Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator initially designated is changed, the identity of the successor will be given to the other Parties at least 5 working days before the changes occur, unless impracticable, but in no event later than the actual day the change is made. The Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator shall be subject to disapproval by EPA and shall have the technical expertise sufficient to adequately oversee all aspects of the Work. The Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator shall not be an attorney for the Settling Defendant in this matter. He or she may assign other representatives, including other contractors, to serve as a Site representative for oversight of performance of daily operations during remedial activities.

42. Plaintiff may designate other representatives, including, but not limited to, EPA employees, and federal contractors and consultants, to observe and monitor the progress of any activity undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree. EPA's Project Coordinator and Alternate Project Coordinator shall have the authority lawfully vested in a Remedial Project Manager (RPM) and an On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) by the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300. In addition, EPA's Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator shall have authority, consistent with the National Contingency Plan, to halt any Work required by this Consent Decree and to take any necessary response action when s/he determines that conditions at the Site constitute an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment due to release or threatened release of Waste Material.

XIII. ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK

43. Within 30 days of entry of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall establish and maintain financial security in the amount of \$2,055,808 in one or more of the following forms:

- a. A surety bond guaranteeing performance of the Work;
- b. One or more irrevocable letters of credit equaling the total estimated cost of the Work;
- c. A trust fund;
- d. A guarantee to perform the Work by one or more parent corporations or subsidiaries, or by one or more unrelated corporations that have a substantial business relationship with the Settling Defendant;
- e. A demonstration that the Settling Defendant satisfies the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f).

44. If the Settling Defendant seeks to demonstrate the ability to complete the Work through a guarantee by a third party pursuant to Paragraph 43.d of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall demonstrate that the guarantor satisfies the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f). If Settling Defendant seeks to demonstrate its ability to complete the Work by means of the financial test or the corporate guarantee pursuant to Paragraph 43.d or 43.e, it shall resubmit sworn statements conveying the information required by 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f) annually, on the anniversary of the Effective Date. In the event that EPA determines at any time

that the financial assurances provided pursuant to this Section are inadequate, Settling Defendant shall, within 30 days of receipt of notice of EPA's determination, obtain and present to EPA for approval one of the other forms of financial assurance listed in Paragraph 43 of this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant's inability to demonstrate financial ability to complete the Work shall not excuse performance of any activities required under this Consent Decree.

45. If Settling Defendant can show that the estimated cost to complete the remaining Work has diminished below the amount set forth in Paragraph 43 above after entry of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant may, on any anniversary date of entry of this Consent Decree, or at any other time agreed to by the Parties, reduce the amount of the financial security provided under this Section to the estimated cost of the remaining work to be performed. Settling Defendant shall submit a proposal for such reduction to EPA, in accordance with the requirements of this Section, and may reduce the amount of the security upon approval by EPA. In the event of a dispute, Settling Defendant may reduce the amount of the security in accordance with the final administrative or judicial decision resolving the dispute.

46. Settling Defendant may change the form of financial assurance provided under this Section at any time, upon notice to and approval by EPA, provided that the new form of assurance meets the requirements of this Section. In the event of a dispute, Settling Defendant may change the form of the financial assurance only in accordance with the final administrative or judicial decision resolving the dispute.

XIV. Certification of Completion

47. Completion of the Remedial Action.

a. Within 90 days after Settling Defendant concludes that the Remedial Action has been fully performed and the Performance Standards have been attained, Settling Defendant shall schedule and conduct a pre-certification inspection to be attended by Settling Defendant and EPA. If, after the pre-certification inspection, the Settling Defendant still believes that the Remedial Action has been fully performed and the Performance Standards have been attained, it shall submit a written report requesting certification to EPA for approval, with a copy to the State, pursuant to Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions) within 30 days of the inspection. In the report, a registered professional engineer and the Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator shall state that the Remedial Action has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Consent Decree. The written report shall include as-built drawings signed and stamped by a professional engineer. The report shall contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of the Settling Defendant or the Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator:

To the best of my knowledge, after thorough investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If, after completion of the pre-certification inspection and receipt and review of the written report, EPA, after reasonable opportunity to review and comment by the State, determines that the Remedial Action or any portion thereof has not been completed in accordance with this

Consent Decree or that the Performance Standards have not been achieved, EPA will notify Settling Defendant in writing of the activities that must be undertaken by Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree to complete the Remedial Action and achieve the Performance Standards, provided, however, that EPA may only require Settling Defendant to perform such activities pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that such activities are consistent with the "scope of the remedy selected in the RODs," as that term is defined in Paragraph 12.b. EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with the Consent Decree and the SOW or require the Settling Defendant to submit a schedule to EPA for approval pursuant to Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions). Settling Defendant shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules established pursuant to this Paragraph, subject to its right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

b. If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any subsequent report requesting Certification of Completion and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, that the Remedial Action has been performed in accordance with this Consent Decree and that the Performance Standards have been achieved, EPA will so certify in writing to Settling Defendant. This certification shall constitute the Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action for purposes of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiff). Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action shall not affect Settling Defendant's obligations under this Consent Decree.

48. Completion of the Work.

a. Within 90 days after Settling Defendant concludes that all phases of the Work (including O & M), have been fully performed, Settling Defendant shall schedule and conduct a pre-certification inspection to be attended by Settling Defendant and EPA. If, after the pre-certification inspection, the Settling Defendant still believes that the Work has been fully performed, Settling Defendant shall submit a written report by a registered professional engineer stating that the Work has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Consent Decree. The report shall contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of Settling Defendant or the Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator:

To the best of my knowledge, after thorough investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If, after review of the written report, EPA, after reasonable opportunity to review and comment by the State, determines that any portion of the Work has not been completed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will notify Settling Defendant in writing of the activities that must be undertaken by Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree to complete the Work, provided, however, that EPA may only require Settling Defendant to perform such activities pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that such activities are consistent with the "scope of the remedy selected in the ROD," as that term is defined in Paragraph 12.b. EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with the Consent Decree and the SOW or require the Settling Defendant to submit a schedule to EPA for approval pursuant to

Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions). Settling Defendant shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules established therein, subject to its right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

b. If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any subsequent request for Certification of Completion by Settling Defendant and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, that the Work has been performed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will so notify the Settling Defendant in writing.

XV. EMERGENCY RESPONSE

49. In the event of any action or occurrence during the performance of the Work which causes or threatens a release of Waste Material from the Site that constitutes an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment, Settling Defendant shall, subject to Paragraph 50, immediately take all appropriate action to prevent, abate, or minimize such release or threat of release, and shall immediately notify the EPA's Project Coordinator, or, if the Project Coordinator is unavailable, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator. If neither of these persons is available, the Settling Defendant shall notify the EPA Emergency Response Branch, Region V. Settling Defendant shall take such actions in consultation with EPA's Project Coordinator or other available authorized EPA officer and in accordance with all applicable provisions of the Health and Safety Plans, the Contingency Plans, and any other applicable plans or documents developed pursuant to the SOW. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to take appropriate response action as required by this Section, and

EPA takes such action instead, Settling Defendant shall reimburse EPA all costs of the response action not inconsistent with the NCP pursuant to Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs).

50. Nothing in the preceding Paragraph or in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to limit any authority of the United States a) to take all appropriate action to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Site, or b) to direct or order such action, or seek an order from the Court, to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Site, subject to Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiff).

XVI. Payments for Response Costs

51. Payments for Response Costs.

a. Settling Defendant shall pay to EPA all Response Costs not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan. On a periodic basis the United States will send Settling Defendant a bill requiring payment that includes an EPA itemized cost summary and/or a DOJ cost summary. Settling Defendant shall make all payments within 30 days of Settling Defendant's receipt of each bill requiring payment, except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 52. Settling Defendant shall make all payments required by this Paragraph by a certified, cashier's, or corporate check or checks made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund," referencing the name and address of the party making the payment, EPA Site/Spill ID Number 053C, and DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-588/1. For costs billed or paid on or after the Effective Date, EPA shall deposit the corresponding reimbursements into the Hagen Farm

Landfill Site Special Account within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund to be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Site. Settling Defendant shall send the check(s) to:

U.S. EPA - Region 5
Superfund Accounting
P.O. Box 371531
Pittsburgh, PA 15251-7531

b. At the time of payment, Settling Defendant shall send notice that payment has been made to the United States, to EPA, and to the Regional Financial Management Officer, in accordance with Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions).

52. Settling Defendant may contest payment of any Response Costs under Paragraph 51 if it determines that the United States has made an accounting error or if it alleges that a cost item that is included represents costs that are inconsistent with the NCP. Such objection shall be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of the bill and must be sent to the United States pursuant to Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions). Any such objection shall specifically identify the contested Response Costs and the basis for objection. In the event of an objection, the Settling Defendant shall within the 30 day period pay all uncontested Response Costs to the United States in the manner described in Paragraph 51. Simultaneously, the Settling Defendant shall establish an interest-bearing escrow account in a federally-insured bank duly chartered in the State of Wisconsin and remit to that escrow account funds equivalent to the amount of the contested Response Costs. The Settling Defendant shall send to the United States, as provided in Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions), a copy of the transmittal letter and check paying the uncontested Response Costs, and a copy of the correspondence that establishes

and funds the escrow account, including, but not limited to, information containing the identity of the bank and bank account under which the escrow account is established as well as a bank statement showing the initial balance of the escrow account. Simultaneously with establishment of the escrow account, the Settling Defendant shall initiate the Dispute Resolution procedures in Section XX (Dispute Resolution). If the United States prevails in the dispute, within 5 days of the resolution of the dispute, the Settling Defendant shall pay the sums due (with accrued interest) to the United States in the manner described in Paragraph 51. If the Settling Defendant prevails concerning any aspect of the contested costs, the Settling Defendant shall pay that portion of the costs (plus associated accrued interest) for which it did not prevail to the United States in the manner described in Paragraph 51; Settling Defendant shall be disbursed any balance of the escrow account. The dispute resolution procedures set forth in this Paragraph in conjunction with the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) shall be the exclusive mechanisms for resolving disputes regarding the Settling Defendant's obligation to reimburse the United States for its Response Costs.

53. In the event that the payments required by Subparagraph 51.a. are not made within 30 days of the Settling Defendant's receipt of the bill, Settling Defendant shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance. The Interest on Response Costs shall begin to accrue on the date of the bill. The Interest shall accrue through the date of the Settling Defendant's payment. Payments of Interest made under this Paragraph shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiff by virtue of Settling Defendant's failure to make timely payments under this Section. The Settling Defendant shall make all payments required by this Paragraph in the manner described in Paragraph 51.

XVII. DISBURSEMENT OF SPECIAL ACCOUNT FUNDS

54. Cost Documentation, Creation of Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account and Agreement to Disburse Funds to Settling Defendant. EPA has reviewed Settling Defendant's June 15, 2004, "Past Costs Summary 1987-2003 Hagen Farm Landfill, Stoughton, Wisconsin" report ("Past Cost Summary Report") and has determined that Settling Defendant has incurred reimbursable response costs of at least \$1,525,306.84 for the Site. Within 30 days after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, EPA shall establish a new special account, the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account, within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund and shall transfer funds from the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Special Account to the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account as needed to meet the schedule of disbursements set forth in Paragraph 55. Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Section and in order to fully satisfy the requirements of the bankruptcy settlement agreement and stipulated order in Case No. 91-32791, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of Indiana, South Bend, as described in paragraph N above, EPA agrees to make the funds in the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account available for disbursement to Settling Defendant. In a response and certification dated June 23, 2004, Settling Defendant has represented and certified that because of its prior settlement with another responsible party, no other entity is entitled to any portion of these proceeds. Based upon that submission and Paragraph 94 of this Consent Decree, EPA shall disburse funds from the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account to Settling Defendant in accordance with the procedures and milestones for disbursement set forth in this Section.

55. Timing, Amount and Method of Disbursing Funds From the Hagen Farm Landfill

Site Disbursement Special Account. Within 45 days after the following milestones, EPA shall disburse the funds from the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account in the amounts set forth below:

<u>Milestone</u>	<u>Disbursement of Funds</u>
1. Effective Date of Consent Decree	\$1,475,306.84
2. Date of EPA's Written Certification of Completion of the Work	The balance of funds remaining in the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Special Account or \$50,000 plus Interest, whichever is less.

EPA shall disburse the funds from the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account to Settling Defendant in the following manner:

Bank Name:	Bank of America Chicago, Illinois
Bank ABA:	071 000 039
Account Name:	Waste Management
Account Number:	8188710018
OBI:	01658 Hagen Farm
Reference:	Cost Recovery

56. Termination of Disbursements from the Special Account. EPA's obligation to disburse funds from the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account shall terminate: (a) when EPA has made all disbursements required by Paragraph 55; (b) upon EPA's assumption of performance of any portion of the Work pursuant to Paragraph 89, when such assumption of performance of the Work is not challenged by Settling Defendant or, if challenged, is upheld under Section XX (Dispute Resolution); or (c) upon EPA's discovery that

either the June 15, 2004, Past Cost Summary Report for the Site or the June 23, 2004, response and certification was materially false or misleading. Settling Defendant may dispute EPA's termination of special account disbursements under Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

57. If any funds remain in the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Special Account and/or the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account at the time of EPA's termination of special account disbursements, EPA may transfer such funds to the Hazardous Substance Superfund. Any transfer of funds to the Hazardous Substance Superfund shall not be subject to challenge by Settling Defendant pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of this Consent Decree or in any other forum.

58. Recapture of Special Account Disbursements. If EPA has previously disbursed funds from the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account for activities specifically related to the reason for termination (e.g., discovery of a materially false or misleading submission after disbursement of funds based on that submission, including the June 15, 2004, Past Cost Summary Report for the Site or the June 23, 2004, response and certification), EPA shall submit a bill to Settling Defendant for those amounts already disbursed from the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account specifically related to the reason for termination, plus Interest on that amount covering the period from the date of disbursement of the funds by EPA to the date of repayment of the funds by Settling Defendant. Within 30 days of receipt of EPA's bill, Settling Defendant shall reimburse the Hazardous Substance Superfund for the total amount billed by a certified or cashier's check or checks made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund," referencing the name and address of the party

making payment, EPA Site/Spill Identification Number 053C, and DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-588/1. Settling Defendant shall send the check(s) to:

U.S. EPA - Region 5
Superfund Accounting
P.O. Box 371531
Pittsburgh, PA 15251-7531

At the time of payment, Settling Defendant shall send notice that payment has been made to the United States, to EPA, and to the Regional Financial Management Officer, in accordance with Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions). Upon receipt of payment, EPA may deposit all or any portion thereof in the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Special Account, the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account, or the Hazardous Substance Superfund. The determination of where to deposit or how to use the funds shall not be subject to challenge by Settling Defendant pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of this Consent Decree or in any other forum. Settling Defendant may dispute EPA's determination as to recapture of funds pursuant to Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

XVIII. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE

59. Settling Defendant's Indemnification of the United States.

a. The United States does not assume any liability by entering into this agreement or by virtue of any designation of Settling Defendant as EPA's authorized representatives under Section 104(e) of CERCLA. Settling Defendant shall indemnify, save and hold harmless the United States and its officials, agents, employees, contractors, subcontractors, or representatives for or from any and all claims or causes of action arising from, or on account

of, negligent or other wrongful acts or omissions of Settling Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on its behalf or under its control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any claims arising from any designation of Settling Defendant as EPA's authorized representative under Section 104(e) of CERCLA. Further, the Settling Defendant agrees to pay the United States all costs it incurs including, but not limited to, attorneys fees and other expenses of litigation and settlement arising from, or on account of, claims made against the United States based on negligent or other wrongful acts or omissions of Settling Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on its behalf or under its control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. The United States shall not be held out as a party to any contract entered into by or on behalf of Settling Defendant in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. Neither the Settling Defendant nor any such contractor shall be considered an agent of the United States.

b. The United States shall give Settling Defendant notice of any claim for which the United States plans to seek indemnification pursuant to Paragraph 59, and shall consult with Settling Defendant prior to settling such claim.

60. Settling Defendant waives all claims against the United States for damages or reimbursement or for set-off of any payments made or to be made to the United States arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between Settling Defendant and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays. In addition, Settling Defendant shall indemnify and hold harmless the United States with respect to any and all claims for damages or reimbursement

arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between Settling Defendant and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays.

61. No later than 15 days before commencing any on-site Work, Settling Defendant shall secure, and shall maintain until the first anniversary of EPA's Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action pursuant to Subparagraph 47.b of Section XIV (Certification of Completion) comprehensive general liability insurance with limits of one million dollars, combined single limit, and automobile liability insurance with limits of one million dollars, combined single limit, naming the United States as an additional insured. In addition, for the duration of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall satisfy, or shall ensure that its contractors or subcontractors satisfy, all applicable laws and regulations regarding the provision of worker's compensation insurance for all persons performing the Work on behalf of Settling Defendant in furtherance of this Consent Decree. Prior to commencement of the Work under this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall provide to EPA certificates of such insurance and a copy of each insurance policy. With each annual report required pursuant to Paragraph 29, Settling Defendant shall certify that the certificates of insurance and insurance policies have been renewed or shall submit copies of any replacement certificates and insurance policies. If Settling Defendant demonstrates by evidence satisfactory to EPA that any contractor or subcontractor maintains insurance equivalent to that described above, or insurance covering the same risks but in a lesser amount, then, with respect to that contractor or subcontractor, Settling Defendant need provide only that portion of the insurance described above which is not maintained by the contractor or subcontractor.

XIX. FORCE MAJEURE

62. "Force majeure," for purposes of this Consent Decree, is defined as any event arising from causes beyond the control of the Settling Defendant, of any entity controlled by Settling Defendant, or of Settling Defendant's contractors, that delays or prevents the performance of any obligation under this Consent Decree despite Settling Defendant's best efforts to fulfill the obligation. The requirement that the Settling Defendant exercise "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes using best efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure event and best efforts to address the effects of any potential force majeure event (1) as it is occurring and (2) following the potential force majeure event, such that the delay is minimized to the greatest extent possible. "Force Majeure" does not include financial inability to complete the Work or a failure to attain the Performance Standards.

63. If any event occurs or has occurred that may delay the performance of any obligation under this Consent Decree, whether or not caused by a force majeure event, the Settling Defendant shall notify orally EPA's Remedial Project Manager or, in his or her absence, EPA's Alternate Remedial Project Manager or, in the event both of EPA's designated representatives are unavailable, the Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region V, within twenty-four (24) hours of when Settling Defendant first knew that the event might cause a delay. Within 7 days thereafter, Settling Defendant shall provide in writing to EPA an explanation and description of the reasons for the delay; the anticipated duration of the delay; all actions taken or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay; a schedule for implementation of any measures to be taken to prevent or mitigate the delay or the effect of the delay; the Settling Defendant's rationale for attributing such delay to a force majeure event if it intends to assert such a claim;

and a statement as to whether, in the opinion of the Settling Defendant, such event may cause or contribute to an endangerment to public health, welfare or the environment. The Settling Defendant shall include with any notice all available documentation supporting its claim that the delay was attributable to a force majeure. Failure to comply with the above requirements shall preclude Settling Defendant from asserting any claim of force majeure for that event for the period of time of such failure to comply, and for any additional delay caused by such failure. Settling Defendant shall be deemed to know of any circumstance of which Settling Defendant, any entity controlled by Settling Defendant, or Settling Defendant's contractors knew or should have known.

64. If EPA agrees that the delay or anticipated delay is attributable to a force majeure event, the time for performance of the obligations under this Consent Decree that are affected by the force majeure event will be extended by EPA for such time as is necessary to complete those obligations. An extension of the time for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure event shall not, of itself, extend the time for performance of any other obligation. If EPA does not agree that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure event, EPA will notify the Settling Defendant in writing of its decision. If EPA agrees that the delay is attributable to a force majeure event, EPA will notify the Settling Defendant in writing of the length of the extension, if any, for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure event.

65. If the Settling Defendant elects to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution), it shall do so no later than 15 days after receipt of EPA's notice. In any such proceeding, Settling Defendant shall have the burden of demonstrating

by a preponderance of the evidence that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure event, that the duration of the delay or the extension sought was or will be warranted under the circumstances, that best efforts were exercised to avoid and mitigate the effects of the delay, and that Settling Defendant complied with the requirements of Paragraphs 62 and 63, above. If Settling Defendant carries this burden, the delay at issue shall be deemed not to be a violation by Settling Defendant of the affected obligation of this Consent Decree identified to EPA and the Court.

XX. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

66. Unless otherwise expressly provided for in this Consent Decree, the dispute resolution procedures of this Section shall be the exclusive mechanism to resolve disputes arising under or with respect to this Consent Decree. However, the procedures set forth in this Section shall not apply to actions by the United States to enforce obligations of the Settling Defendant that have not been disputed in accordance with this Section.

67. Any dispute which arises under or with respect to this Consent Decree shall in the first instance be the subject of informal negotiations between the Parties. The period for informal negotiations shall not exceed 20 days from the time the dispute arises, unless it is modified by written agreement of the parties to the dispute. The dispute shall be considered to have arisen when one party sends the other parties a written Notice of Dispute.

68. Statements of Position.

a. In the event that the parties cannot resolve a dispute by informal negotiations under the preceding Paragraph, then the position advanced by EPA shall be

considered binding unless, within 14 days after the conclusion of the informal negotiation period, Settling Defendant invokes the formal dispute resolution procedures of this Section by serving on the United States a written Statement of Position on the matter in dispute, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis or opinion supporting that position and any supporting documentation relied upon by the Settling Defendant. The Statement of Position shall specify the Settling Defendant's position as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 69 or Paragraph 70.

b. Within 14 days after receipt of Settling Defendant's Statement of Position, EPA will serve on Settling Defendant its Statement of Position, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting that position and all supporting documentation relied upon by EPA. EPA's Statement of Position shall include a statement as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 69 or 70. Within 14 days after receipt of EPA's Statement of Position, Settling Defendant may submit a Reply.

c. If there is disagreement between EPA and the Settling Defendant as to whether dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 69 or 70, the parties to the dispute shall follow the procedures set forth in the paragraph determined by EPA to be applicable. However, if the Settling Defendant ultimately appeals to the Court to resolve the dispute, the Court shall determine which paragraph is applicable in accordance with the standards of applicability set forth in Paragraphs 69 and 70.

69. Formal dispute resolution for disputes pertaining to the selection or adequacy of any response action and all other disputes that are accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures

set forth in this Paragraph. For purposes of this Paragraph, the adequacy of any response action includes, without limitation: (1) the adequacy or appropriateness of plans, procedures to implement plans, or any other items requiring approval by EPA under this Consent Decree; and (2) the adequacy of the performance of response actions taken pursuant to this Consent Decree. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to allow any dispute by Settling Defendant regarding the validity of the provisions of the RODs, as amended.

a. An administrative record of the dispute shall be maintained by EPA and shall contain all statements of position, including supporting documentation, submitted pursuant to this Section. Where appropriate, EPA may allow submission of supplemental statements of position by the parties to the dispute.

b. The Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region V, will issue a final administrative decision resolving the dispute based on the administrative record described in Paragraph 69.a. This decision shall be binding upon the Settling Defendant, subject only to the right to seek judicial review pursuant to Paragraph 69.c and d.

c. Any administrative decision made by EPA pursuant to Paragraph 69.b. shall be reviewable by this Court, provided that a motion for judicial review of the decision is filed by the Settling Defendant with the Court and served on all Parties within 10 days of receipt of EPA's decision. The motion shall include a description of the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of this Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to Settling Defendant's motion.

d. In proceedings on any dispute governed by this Paragraph, Settling Defendant shall have the burden of demonstrating that the decision of the Superfund Division Director is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise not in accordance with law. Judicial review of EPA's decision shall be on the administrative record compiled pursuant to Paragraph 69.a.

70. Formal dispute resolution for disputes that neither pertain to the selection or adequacy of any response action nor are otherwise accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law, shall be governed by this Paragraph.

a. Following receipt of Settling Defendant's Statement of Position submitted pursuant to Paragraph 68, the Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region V, will issue a final decision resolving the dispute. The Superfund Division Director's decision shall be binding on the Settling Defendant unless, within 10 days of receipt of the decision, the Settling Defendant files with the Court and serves on the parties a motion for judicial review of the decision setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of the Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to Settling Defendant's motion.

b. Notwithstanding Paragraph M of Section I (Background) of this Consent Decree, judicial review of any dispute governed by this Paragraph shall be governed by applicable principles of law.

71. The invocation of formal dispute resolution procedures under this Section shall not extend, postpone or affect in any way any obligation of the Settling Defendant under this Consent Decree, not directly in dispute, unless EPA or the Court agrees otherwise. Stipulated

penalties with respect to the disputed matter shall continue to accrue but payment shall be stayed pending resolution of the dispute as provided in Paragraph 80. Notwithstanding the stay of payment, stipulated penalties shall accrue from the first day of noncompliance with any applicable provision of this Consent Decree. In the event that the Settling Defendant does not prevail on the disputed issue, stipulated penalties shall be assessed and paid as provided in Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties).

XXI. STIPULATED PENALTIES

72. Settling Defendant shall be liable for stipulated penalties in the amounts set forth in Paragraphs 73 and 74 to the United States for failure to comply with the requirements of this Consent Decree specified below, unless excused under Section XIX (Force Majeure).

"Compliance" by Settling Defendant shall include completion of the activities under this Consent Decree or any work plan or other plan approved under this Consent Decree identified below in accordance with all applicable requirements of law, this Consent Decree, the SOW, and any plans or other documents approved by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree and within the specified time schedules established by and approved under this Consent Decree.

73. Stipulated Penalty Amounts - Work.

a. The following stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per day for any failure to comply with any milestone identified in Subparagraph 73.b:

<u>Penalty Per Violation Per Day</u>	<u>Period of Noncompliance</u>
\$ 1,000	1st through 14th day
\$ 2,500	15th through 30th day
\$ 5,000	31st day and beyond

b. Compliance Milestones.

(1) Settling Defendant shall each July conduct an inspection of the landfill cap and shall record all findings.

(2) Settling Defendant shall maintain the physical integrity of the landfill cap.

(3) Settling Defendant shall maintain and operate the vapor extraction and landfill gas venting and treatment systems.

(4) Settling Defendant shall maintain and operate the groundwater remediation system (the as-built air sparging system and/or the as-built groundwater pumping and treating system groundwater, as required by the SOW), and shall conduct groundwater monitoring.

(5) Settling Defendant shall maintain groundwater use restrictions, including environmental monitoring as identified in Appendix F and/or Appendix G to this Consent Decree.

(6) Settling Defendant shall maintain the fence around the area of contamination on the Hagen Farm Site.

74. Stipulated Penalty Amounts - Reports.

a. The following stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per day for failure to submit timely or adequate reports:

(1) Submission of the annual report required pursuant to paragraph 29 of this Consent Decree.

(2) Submission of the plans, data, and reports as required by this Consent Decree and the SOW.

<u>Penalty Per Violation Per Day</u>	<u>Period of Noncompliance</u>
\$ 500	1st through 14th day
\$ 1,000	15th through 30th day
\$ 2,500	31st day and beyond

75. In the event that EPA assumes performance of a portion or all of the Work pursuant to Paragraph 89 of Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiff), Settling Defendant shall be liable for a stipulated penalty in the amount of \$500,000.

76. All penalties shall begin to accrue on the day after the complete performance is due or the day a violation occurs, and shall continue to accrue through the final day of the correction of the noncompliance or completion of the activity. However, stipulated penalties shall not accrue: (1) with respect to a deficient submission under Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st day after EPA's receipt of such submission until the date that EPA notifies Settling Defendant of any deficiency; (2) with respect to a decision by the Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region V, under

Paragraph 69.b or 70.a of Section XX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 21st day after the date that Settling Defendant's reply to EPA's Statement of Position is received until the date that the Director issues a final decision regarding such dispute; or (3) with respect to judicial review by this Court of any dispute under Section XX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st day after the Court's receipt of the final submission regarding the dispute until the date that the Court issues a final decision regarding such dispute. Nothing herein shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate penalties for separate violations of this Consent Decree.

77. Following EPA's determination that Settling Defendant has failed to comply with a requirement of this Consent Decree, EPA may give Settling Defendant written notification of the same and describe the noncompliance. EPA may send the Settling Defendant a written demand for the payment of the penalties. However, penalties shall accrue as provided in the preceding Paragraph regardless of whether EPA has notified the Settling Defendant of a violation.

78. All penalties accruing under this Section shall be due and payable to the United States within 30 days of the Settling Defendant's receipt from EPA of a demand for payment of the penalties, unless Settling Defendant invokes the Dispute Resolution procedures under Section XX (Dispute Resolution). All payments to the United States under this Section shall be paid by certified, cashier's, or corporate check or checks made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund," shall be mailed to U.S. EPA Superfund, Superfund Accounting, P.O. Box 70753, Chicago, Illinois 60673, shall indicate that the payment is for stipulated penalties, and shall reference the EPA Region and Site/Spill ID # 053C, the DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-588/1, and

the name and address of the party making payment. Copies of check(s) paid pursuant to this Section, and any accompanying transmittal letter(s), shall be sent to the United States as provided in Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions), and to:

Regional Counsel
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 5
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604-3590

79. The payment of penalties shall not alter in any way Settling Defendant's obligation to complete the performance of the Work required under this Consent Decree.

80. Penalties shall continue to accrue as provided in Paragraph 76 during any dispute resolution period, but need not be paid until the following:

a. If the dispute is resolved by agreement or by a decision of EPA that is not appealed to this Court, accrued penalties determined to be owing shall be paid to EPA within 15 days of the agreement or the receipt of EPA's decision or order;

b. If the dispute is appealed to this Court and the United States prevails in whole or in part, Settling Defendant shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the Court to be owed to EPA within 60 days of receipt of the Court's decision or order, except as provided in Subparagraph c below;

c. If the District Court's decision is appealed by any Party, Settling Defendant shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the District Court to be owing to the United States into an interest-bearing escrow account within 60 days of receipt of the Court's decision or order.

Penalties shall be paid into this account as they continue to accrue, at least every 60 days. Within 15 days of receipt of the final appellate court decision, the escrow agent shall pay the balance of the account to EPA or to Settling Defendant to the extent that it prevails.

81. If Settling Defendant fails to pay stipulated penalties when due, the United States may institute proceedings to collect the penalties, as well as interest. Settling Defendant shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance, which shall begin to accrue on the date of demand made pursuant to Paragraph 78.

82. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as prohibiting, altering, or in any way limiting the ability of the United States to seek any other remedies or sanctions available by virtue of Settling Defendant's violation of this Decree or of the statutes and regulations upon which it is based, including, but not limited to, penalties pursuant to Section 122(l) of CERCLA, provided, however, that the United States shall not seek civil penalties pursuant to Section 122(l) of CERCLA for any violation for which a stipulated penalty is provided herein, except in the case of a willful violation of the Consent Decree.

83. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, the United States may, in its unreviewable discretion, waive any portion of stipulated penalties that have accrued pursuant to this Consent Decree.

XXII. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFF

84. In consideration of the actions that will be performed and the payments that will be made by the Settling Defendant under the terms of the Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraphs 85, 86, and 88 of this Section, the United States covenants

not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendant pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA relating to the Site. Except with respect to future liability, these covenants not to sue shall take effect upon the receipt by EPA of the first payment required by Paragraph 51 of Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs). With respect to future liability, these covenants not to sue shall take effect upon Certification of Completion of Remedial Action by EPA pursuant to Paragraph 47.b of Section XIV (Certification of Completion). These covenants not to sue are conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by Settling Defendant of its obligations under this Consent Decree. These covenants not to sue extend only to the Settling Defendant and do not extend to any other person.

85. United States' Pre-certification Reservations. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel Settling Defendant

- a. to perform further response actions relating to the Site, or
- b. to reimburse the United States for additional costs of response if, prior to

Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action:

- (1) conditions at the Site, previously unknown to EPA, are discovered,
- or
- (2) information, previously unknown to EPA, is received, in whole or
- in part,

and EPA determines that these previously unknown conditions or information together with any other relevant information indicates that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health or the environment.

86. United States' Post-certification Reservations. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel Settling Defendant

- a. to perform further response actions relating to the Site, or
- b. to reimburse the United States for additional costs of response if,

subsequent to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action:

- (1) conditions at the Site, previously unknown to EPA, are discovered,

or

- (2) information, previously unknown to EPA, is received, in whole or in part,

and EPA determines that these previously unknown conditions or this information together with other relevant information indicate that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health or the environment.

87. For purposes of Paragraph 85, the information and the conditions known to EPA shall include only that information and those conditions known to EPA as of the date the RODs were signed and set forth in the Records of Decision for the Site and the administrative records

supporting the Records of Decision. For purposes of Paragraph 86, the information and the conditions known to EPA shall include only that information and those conditions known to EPA as of the date of Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action and set forth in the Records of Decision, the administrative records supporting the Records of Decision, the post-ROD administrative records, or in any information received by EPA pursuant to the requirements of this Consent Decree prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action.

88. General reservations of rights. The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendant with respect to all matters not expressly included within Plaintiff's covenant not to sue. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves all rights against Settling Defendant with respect to:

- a. claims based on a failure by Settling Defendant to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree;
- b. liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Material outside of the Site;
- c. liability based upon the Settling Defendant's ownership or operation of the Site, or upon the Settling Defendant's transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal, or the arrangement for the transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal of Waste Material at or in connection with the Site, other than as provided in the ROD, the Work, or otherwise ordered by EPA, after signature of this Consent Decree by the Settling Defendant;

- d. liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, and for the costs of any natural resource damage assessments;
- e. criminal liability;
- f. liability for violations of federal or state law which occur during or after implementation of the Remedial Action; and
- g. liability, prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action, for additional response actions that EPA determines are necessary to achieve Performance Standards, but that cannot be required pursuant to Paragraph 12 (Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans);

89. Work Takeover In the event EPA determines that Settling Defendant has ceased implementation of any portion of the Work, is seriously or repeatedly deficient or late in its performance of the Work, or is implementing the Work in a manner which may cause an endangerment to human health or the environment, EPA may assume the performance of all or any portions of the Work as EPA determines necessary. Settling Defendant may invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution), Paragraph 69, to dispute EPA's determination that takeover of the Work is warranted under this Paragraph. Costs incurred by the United States in performing the Work pursuant to this Paragraph shall be considered Response Costs that Settling Defendant shall pay pursuant to Section XVI (Payment for Response Costs).

90. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States retains all authority and reserves all rights to take any and all response actions authorized by law.

XXIII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANT

91. Covenant Not to Sue. Subject to the reservations in Paragraph 92, Settling Defendant hereby covenants not to sue and agrees not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States with respect to the Site or this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to:

- a. any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, 113 or any other provision of law;
- b. any claims against the United States, including any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States under CERCLA Sections 107 or 113 related to the Site;
- c. any claims arising out of response actions at or in connection with the Site, including any claim under the United States Constitution, the Wisconsin Constitution, the Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491, the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as amended, or at common law; and
- d. any direct or indirect claim for disbursement from the Hagen Farm Landfill Site Special Account or Hagen Farm Landfill Site Disbursement Special Account (established pursuant to this Consent Decree), except as provided in Section XVII.

Except as provided in Paragraph 99 (waiver of Claim-Splitting Defenses), these covenants not to sue shall not apply in the event that the United States brings a cause of action or issues an order pursuant to the reservations set forth in Paragraphs 85 or 86 or sub-paragraphs b,

c, d, or g of Paragraph 88, but only to the extent that Settling Defendant's claims arise from the same response action, response costs, or damages that the United States is seeking pursuant to the applicable reservation.

92. The Settling Defendant reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, claims against the United States, subject to the provisions of Chapter 171 of Title 28 of the United States Code, for money damages for injury or loss of property or personal injury or death caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of any employee of the United States while acting within the scope of his office or employment under circumstances where the United States, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the place where the act or omission occurred. However, any such claim shall not include a claim for any damages caused, in whole or in part, by the act or omission of any person, including any contractor, who is not a federal employee as that term is defined in 28 U.S.C. § 2671; nor shall any such claim include a claim based on EPA's selection of response actions, or the oversight or approval of the Settling Defendant's plans or activities. The foregoing applies only to claims which are brought pursuant to any statute other than CERCLA and for which the waiver of sovereign immunity is found in a statute other than CERCLA.

93. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

94. Settling Defendant agrees not to assert any claims and to waive all claims or causes of action that it may have for all matters relating to the Site, including for contribution, against any person where the person's liability to Settling Defendant with respect to the Site is

based solely on having arranged for disposal or treatment, or for transport for disposal or treatment, of hazardous substances at the Site, or having accepted for transport for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Site.

XXIV. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

95. Except as provided in Paragraph 94 nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Decree. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this decree may have under applicable law. Except as provided in Paragraph 94, each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each Party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a Party hereto.

96. The Parties agree, and by entering this Consent Decree this Court finds, that the Settling Defendant is entitled, as of the Effective Date, to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2) for matters addressed in this Consent Decree. Matters addressed in this Consent Decree include the Work to be performed and payments to be made by Settling Defendant pursuant to the terms of this Consent Decree.

97. The Settling Defendant agrees that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by it for matters related to this Consent Decree it will notify the United States in writing no later than 60 days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim.

98. The Settling Defendant also agrees that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against it for matters related to this Consent Decree it will notify in writing the United States within 10 days of service of the complaint on it. In addition, Settling Defendant shall notify the United States within 10 days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment and within 10 days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial.

99. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Site, Settling Defendant shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiff).

100. The entry of this Consent Decree supersedes and extinguishes the obligations imposed on Settling Defendant and the other PRPs under the terms of the RI/FS AOC. The entry of this Consent Decree supersedes and extinguishes the obligations imposed on Settling Defendant under the terms of the UAOs.

XXV. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

101. Settling Defendant shall provide to EPA, upon request, copies of all documents and information within its possession or control or that of its contractors or agents relating to

activities at the Site or to the implementation of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information related to the Work. Settling Defendant shall also make available to EPA, for purposes of investigation, information gathering, or testimony, Settling Defendant's employees, agents, or representatives with knowledge of relevant facts concerning the performance of the Work.

102. Business Confidential and Privileged Documents.

a. Settling Defendant may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the documents or information submitted to Plaintiff under this Consent Decree to the extent permitted by and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Documents or information determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies documents or information when they are submitted to EPA, or if EPA has notified Settling Defendant that the documents or information are not confidential under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA or 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B, the public may be given access to such documents or information without further notice to Settling Defendant.

b. The Settling Defendant may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If the Settling Defendant asserts such a privilege in lieu of providing documents, they shall provide the Plaintiff with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each

addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the contents of the document, record, or information; and (6) the privilege asserted by Settling Defendant. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.

103. No claim of confidentiality or privilege shall be made with respect to any data, including, but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, or engineering data, or any other documents or information evidencing conditions at or around the Site.

XXVI. RETENTION OF RECORDS

104. Until 10 years after the Settling Defendant's receipt of EPA's notification pursuant to Paragraph 48.b of Section XIV (Certification of Completion of the Work), Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all non-identical copies of records and documents (including records or documents in electronic form) now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to its liability under CERCLA with respect to the Site, provided, however, that Settling Defendant must retain, in addition, all documents and records that relate to the liability of any other person under CERCLA with respect to the Site. Settling Defendant must also retain, and instruct its contractors and agents to preserve, for the same period of time specified above all non-identical copies of the last draft or final version of any documents or records (including documents or records in electronic form) now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to the performance of the Work, provided, however, that Settling Defendant (and its contractors and agents) must retain, in addition, copies of all data generated during the performance of the Work

and not contained in the aforementioned documents required to be retained. Each of the above record retention requirements shall apply regardless of any corporate retention policy to the contrary.

105. At the conclusion of this document retention period, Settling Defendant shall notify the United States at least 90 days prior to the destruction of any such records or documents, and, upon request by the United States, Settling Defendant shall deliver any such records or documents to EPA. The Settling Defendant may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If the Settling Defendant asserts such a privilege, it shall provide the Plaintiff with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the subject of the document, record, or information; and (6) the privilege asserted by Settling Defendant. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.

106. Settling Defendant hereby certifies that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, after thorough inquiry, it has not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any records, documents or other information (other than identical copies) relating to its potential liability regarding the Site since notification of potential liability by the United States or the State or the filing of suit against it regarding the Site and that it has fully complied with any and all

EPA requests for information pursuant to Section 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9604(e) and 9622(e), and Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6927.

XXVII. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

107. Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, written notice is required to be given or a report or other document is required to be sent by one Party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. All notices and submissions shall be considered effective upon receipt, unless otherwise provided. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, and the Settling Defendant, respectively.

As to the United States:

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611
Re: DJ # 90-11-2-07850

and

Director, Superfund Division
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 5
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, Il. 60604-3590

As to EPA:

Sheila Sullivan
EPA Remedial Project Manager

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 5 (SR-6J)
77 West Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, Il. 60604-3590

As to the Regional Financial Management Officer:

Chief, Superfund Accounting
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 5
77 West Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, Il. 60604

As to the Settling Defendant: Michael Peterson

Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator
Waste Management of Wisconsin, Inc.
N 96 W13600 County Line Road
Germantown, Wisconsin 53022

and

Lisa S. Zebovitz
Neal, Gerber & Eisenberg LLP
2 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2200
Chicago, Il 60602

As to the State of Wisconsin: Michael Schmoller

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources -
Southern District Office
3911 Fish Hatchery Road
Fitchburg, Wisconsin 53711-5397

XXVIII. EFFECTIVE DATE

108. The effective date of this Consent Decree shall be the date upon which this Consent Decree is entered by the Court, except as otherwise provided herein.

XXIX. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

109. This Court retains jurisdiction over both the subject matter of this Consent Decree and the Settling Defendant for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this Consent Decree for the purpose of enabling any of the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of this Consent Decree, or to effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms, or to resolve disputes in accordance with Section XX (Dispute Resolution) hereof.

XXX. APPENDICES

110. The following appendices are attached to and incorporated into this Consent Decree:

Appendix A is the Administrative Order By Consent Re: Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study, U.S. EPA Docket No. V-W-87-C-016 (the "RI/FS AOC").

Appendix B is the Administrative Order Pursuant to Section 106 of CERCLA, issued by EPA on March 7, 1991 (the "SCOU UAO").

Appendix C is the Cap Long-term Operation and Maintenance plan, which was included in the 100% Remedial Design report for the cap, and is dated August 1991.

Appendix D is the ISVE Long-term monitoring and O&M plan included in the 100% RD for the ISVE, and is dated August 1993.

Appendix E is the Administrative Order Pursuant to Section 106 of CERCLA, issued by EPA on November 25, 1992, in EPA Docket No. V-W-92-C-172 (the "GCOU UAO").

Appendix F is the Groundwater Pump and Treat Long-term O&M plan which is part of the 100% RD report dated May 1995 and a long-term groundwater monitoring plan which is part of the RD work plan dated July 1993.

Appendix G is the air sparge system and modified groundwater monitoring plan, approved as the Low-Flow Air Sparge System Implementation and Monitoring Plan dated January 2001.

Appendix H is the Source Control Operable Unit ROD, executed on September 17, 1990.

Appendix I is the Source Control Operable Unit ROD Explanation of Significant Differences executed by EPA in April 1991.

Appendix J is the Groundwater Control Operable Unit ROD executed on September 30, 1992.

Appendix K is the Groundwater Control Operable Unit ROD Explanation of Significant Differences executed by EPA on August 27, 1996.

Appendix L is the Hagen Farm Superfund Site map.

Appendix M is the Statement of Work for implementation of the Remedial Design, Remedial Action, and Operation and Maintenance at the Site.

Appendix N is the draft easement.

XXXI. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

111. Settling Defendant shall propose to EPA its participation in the community relations plan to be developed by EPA. EPA will determine the appropriate role for the Settling

Defendant under the Plan. Settling Defendant shall also cooperate with EPA in providing information regarding the Work to the public. As requested by EPA, Settling Defendant shall participate in the preparation of such information for dissemination to the public and in public meetings which may be held or sponsored by EPA to explain activities at or relating to the Site.

XXXII. MODIFICATION

112. Schedules specified in this Consent Decree for completion of the Work may be modified by agreement of EPA and the Settling Defendant. All such modifications shall be made in writing.

113. Except as provided in Paragraph 12 (Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans), no material modifications shall be made to the SOW without written notification to and written approval of the United States, Settling Defendant, and the Court, if such modifications fundamentally alter the basic features of the selected remedy within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. 300.435(c)(2)(B)(ii). Prior to providing its approval to any modification, the United States will provide the State with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed modification. Modifications to the SOW that do not materially alter that document, or material modifications to the SOW that do not fundamentally alter the basic features of the selected remedy within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. 300.435(c)(2)(B)(ii), may be made by written agreement between EPA, after providing the State with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed modification, and the Settling Defendant.

114. No material modification to this Consent Decree shall be made without written agreement of the Parties and approval by the Court. Non-material modifications may be made by

written agreement of the Parties and shall be filed with the Court. Nothing in this Decree shall be deemed to alter the Court's power to enforce, supervise or approve modifications to this Consent Decree.

XXXIII. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

115. This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than thirty (30) days for public notice and comment in accordance with Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(d)(2), and 28 C.F.R. § 50.7. The United States reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Settling Defendant consents to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice.

116. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any Party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.

XXXIV. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

117. The undersigned representative of the Settling Defendant to this Consent Decree and the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the Department of Justice certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such Party to this document.

118. Settling Defendant hereby agrees not to oppose entry of this Consent Decree by this Court or to challenge any provision of this Consent Decree unless the United States has notified the Settling Defendant in writing that it no longer supports entry of the Consent Decree.

119. Settling Defendant shall identify, on the attached signature page, the name, address and telephone number of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on behalf of that Party with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant hereby agrees to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court, including, but not limited to, service of a summons. The parties agree that Settling Defendant need not file an answer to the complaint in this action unless or until the court expressly declines to enter this Consent Decree.

XXXV. FINAL JUDGMENT

126. This Consent Decree and its appendices constitute the final, complete, and exclusive agreement and understanding among the parties with respect to the settlement embodied in the Consent Decree. The parties acknowledge that there are no representations, agreements or understandings relating to the settlement other than those expressly contained in this Consent Decree.

127. Upon approval and entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Consent Decree shall constitute a final judgment between and among the United States and the Settling Defendant. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and therefore enters this judgment as a final judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 58.

SO ORDERED THIS __ DAY OF _____, 200__.

United States District Judge

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States v. Waste Management of Wisconsin, Incorporated, relating to the Hagen Farm Landfill Superfund Site.

FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ERIK C. PETERSON
United States Attorney
Western District of Wisconsin

8/02/07
Date

Leslie K. Herje
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Civil Division
660 W. Washington Ave., Suite 200
P.O. Box 1585
Madison, WI 53701-1585
(608) 264-5158

7/25/07
Date

W. Benjamin Fisherow
Deputy Chief
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
United States Department of Justice

7-20-07
Date

Steve C. Gold
Senior Attorney
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
United States Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611
(202) 514-5260

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States v. Waste Management of Wisconsin, Incorporated, relating to the Hagen Farm Landfill Superfund Site.

7-13-07
Date

Richard C. Karl
Director, Superfund Division, Region 5
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

7/9/07
Date

Jeffrey A. Cahn
Associate Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 5
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States v. Waste Management of Wisconsin, Incorporated, relating to the Hagen Farm Landfill Superfund Site.

FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT OF WISCONSIN, INCORPORATED

June 13, 2006

Date

Signature: _____

Name (print): Jack Dowden

Title: Area Director, Closed Site Management Group

Address: N96 W13600 County Line Rd.

Germantown, WI 53022

262/532-4026 (phone)

262/255-3798 (fax)

Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party:

Name (print): Lisa S. Zebovitz

Title: Attorney

Address: Two North LaSalle Street

Suite 1900

Chicago, IL 60602

Ph. Number: 312/269-8033